

### **REMARKS**

This is a full and timely response to the outstanding non-final Office Action mailed March 20, 2008. Upon entry of the amendments in this response, claims 1 – 26 remain pending. In particular, Applicant withdraws claims 13 – 18 and 21 – 22. Reconsideration and allowance of the application and presently pending claims are respectfully requested.

#### **I. Election/Restrictions**

The Office Action indicates that restriction to one of the following Groups is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121:

- I. Claims 1 – 12 and 25 – 26, drawn to an organic thin film transistor device; and
- II. Claims 13 – 24; drawn to a method of fabricating an organic thin film transistor device

Applicant respectfully affirms election without traverse to prosecute Group I, claims 1 – 12 and 25 – 26. Applicant withdraws claims 13 – 18 and 21 – 22. Applicant also notes that the Office Action appears to restrict these groups because Group I does not include a technical feature of Group II. Accordingly, Applicant understands that, if the common technical feature is added to Group I, the restriction will be withdrawn and the withdrawal of Claims 1 – 12 will be removed.

## **II. Examiner Interview**

Applicant first wishes to express their sincere appreciation for the time that Examiner Garrity spent with Applicant's Attorney, Anthony Bonner, during a telephone discussion on April 30, 2008 regarding the outstanding Office Action. During that conversation, Examiner Garrity and Mr. Bonner discussed the previous cancellation of claims 10 – 11, 19 – 20 and 23 – 24.

Examiner Garrity and Mr. Bonner also discussed the misspelled title, and both agreed that this should be corrected. Thus, Applicant respectfully requests that Examiner Garrity carefully consider this response and the amendments.

### **CONCLUSION**

In light of the foregoing amendments and for at least the reasons set forth above, Applicant respectfully submits that all objections and/or rejections have been traversed, rendered moot, and/or addressed, and that the now pending claims are in condition for allowance. Favorable reconsideration and allowance of the present application and all pending claims are hereby courteously requested.

Any other statements in the Office Action that are not explicitly addressed herein are not intended to be admitted. In addition, any and all findings of inherency are traversed as not having been shown to be necessarily present. Furthermore, any and all findings of well-known art and Official Notice, or statements interpreted similarly, should not be considered well-known for the particular and specific reasons that the claimed combinations are too complex to support such conclusions and because the Office Action does not include specific findings predicated on sound technical and scientific reasoning to support such conclusions.

If, in the opinion of the Examiner, a telephonic conference would expedite the examination of this matter, the Examiner is invited to call the undersigned attorney at (770) 933-9500.

Respectfully submitted,

/afb/

**Anthony F. Bonner Jr. Reg. No. 55,012**

**THOMAS, KAYDEN,  
HORSTEMEYER & RISLEY, L.L.P.**  
Suite 1500  
600 Galleria Parkway S.E.  
Atlanta, Georgia 30339  
(770) 933-9500